arXiv:1608.07229v1 [math.MG] 25 Aug 2016

Möbius and sub-Möbius structures

Sergei Buyalo*

Abstract

We introduce a notion of a sub-Möbius structure and find necessary and sufficient conditions under which a sub-Möbius structure is a Möbius structure. We show that on the boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty Y$ of every Gromov hyperbolic space Y there is a canonical sub-Möbius structure which is invariant under isometries of Y such that the sub-Möbius topology on $\partial_\infty Y$ coincides with the standard one.

1 Introduction

A Möbius structure on a set X is a class of metrics having the same cross-ratio on every 4-tuple P in X whenever it is well defined. There are six variants of cross-ratios, and a permutation of entries of P induces a respective permutation of cross-ratios. We introduce a notion of a sub-Möbius structure, a basic feature of which is the same symmetry under permutations as in the case of Möbius structures. The notion of a sub-Möbius structure seems to be more flexible than that of a Möbius structure. Like Möbius structures any sub-Möbius structure induces a uniquely determined topology on X. Our main result, Theorem 3.5, establishes necessary and sufficient conditions under which a sub-Möbius structure is a Möbius structure. These conditions require to deal with admissible 5-tuples in X, and respective symmetries involve the symmetry group S_5 (however, we do not touch the S_5-symmetry theme in this paper).

Furthermore, we show that on the boundary at infinity of every Gromov hyperbolic space Y there is a canonical sub-Möbius structure which is invariant under isometries of Y such that the sub-Möbius topology on $\partial_\infty Y$ coincides with the standard one. A Möbius structure with similar properties which in addition is ptolemaic is known to exist if Y is a hyperbolic complex, that is, a simplicial metric complex, whose 1-skeleton is a hyperbolic graph

*Supported by RFFI Grant 14-01-00062

with uniformly bounded valence, see [M], or if Y is a CAT(-1)-space, see [B, FS], or Y is asymptotically PT_{-1}, see [MS].

2 Semi-metrics and the cross-ratio homomorphism

Let X be a set. A function $d : X^2 \to \widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called a *semi-metric*, if it is symmetric, $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for each x, $y \in X$, positive outside the diagonal, vanishes on the diagonal and there is at most one infinitely remote point $\omega \in X$ for d, i.e. such that $d(x, \omega) = \infty$ for every $x \in X \setminus \{\omega\}$. A metric is a semi-metric that satisfies the triangle inequality.

A 4-tuple $P = (x, y, z, u) \in X^4$ is said to be *admissible* if no entry occurs three or four times in P. A 4-tuple P is *nondegenerate*, if all its entries are pairwise distinct. In what follows, we always assume that 4-tuples we consider are admissible unless the opposite is explicitly stated.

Let $\mathcal{P}_4 = \mathcal{P}_4(X)$ be the set of all ordered admissible 4-tuples of X, $\operatorname{reg}\mathcal{P}_4 \subset \mathcal{P}_4$ the set of nondegenerate 4-tuples.

We denote by L_4 the subspace in $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by $a + b + c = 0$ (subindex 4 is related to 4-tuples rather than to the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^3$). We extend L_4 by adding to it thee points $A = (0, \infty, -\infty)$, $B = (-\infty, 0, \infty)$, $C = (\infty, -\infty, 0)$, $\overline{L}_4 = L_4 \cup \{A, B, C\}$.

With every semi-metric d we associate a map $M_d : \mathcal{P}_4 \to \overline{L}_4$ given by

$$M_d(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (a, b, c), \tag{1}$$

where

$$a = \mathrm{cd}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1|x_4) + (x_2|x_3) - (x_1|x_3) - (x_2|x_4)$$

$$b = \mathrm{cd}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_2) = (x_1|x_2) + (x_3|x_4) - (x_1|x_4) - (x_2|x_3)$$

$$c = \mathrm{cd}(x_2, x_3, x_1, x_4) = (x_2|x_4) + (x_1|x_3) - (x_1|x_2) - (x_3|x_4),$$

$(x_i|x_j) = -\ln d(x_i, x_j)$ (cd stays for *cross-difference*.) Note that $a+b+c = 0$, and that if $P = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is degenerate, then $M_d(P)$ is well defined and takes values A, B, C. The map $M_d : \mathcal{P}_4 \to \overline{L}_4$ is called the *Möbius structure* on X associated with d.

Remark 2.1. We prefer to work with logarithm of cross-ratios instead of cross-ratios themselves by many reasons, most important of which is that the equations (A), (B) of Theorem 3.5 are linear in the logarithmic setup.

Since $(x|x) = \infty$, we have, for example, $M_d(x_1, x_1, x_3, x_4) = (0, \infty, -\infty)$. Furthermore, since $(x|\infty) = -\infty$, we have e.g. $M_d(x_1, x_2, x_3, \infty) = ((x_2|x_3) - (x_1|x_3), (x_1|x_2) - (x_2|x_3), (x_1|x_3) - (x_1|x_2))$. If in addition x_3 is also infinitely remote for d, then $M_d(x_1, x_2, \infty, \infty) = (0, \infty, -\infty)$. Note that $M_d(P) \in L_4$ if and only if $P \in \operatorname{reg} \mathcal{P}_4$ is nondegenerate.

A semi-metric d' on X is said to be *Möbius equivalent* to d, if $M_{d'} = M_d$. For example, every *metric inversion* d' of d is a semi-metric that is Möbius equivalent to d,

$$d'(x, y) = \frac{r^2 d(x, y)}{d(x, \omega) d(y, \omega)}, \ d'(\omega, \omega) = 0,$$

for some $r > 0$ and all $x, y \in X$ that are not equal to ω simultaneously, where $\omega \in X$ is not infinitely remote for d. Note that then ω is infinitely remote for d'. In particular, for every $\omega \in X$ there is a semi-metric d' equivalent d with infinitely remote ω. Actually, a Möbius structure M on X is a class Möbius equivalent semi-metrics on X, and we write $d \in M$ for a semi-metric d from this class.

We denote by S_k the symmetry group of k elements. We use notation $\pi(1 \dots k) = \pi := i_1 \dots i_k$ for $\pi \in S_k$, where $i_j = \pi(j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

The group S_4 acts on $\mathcal{P}_4$ by permutations of the entries of every $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$. The group S_3 acts on $\overline{L}_4$ by signed permutations of the coordinates. The *cross-ratio* homomorphism $\varphi : S_4 \to S_3$ can be described as follows: a permutation of a tetrahedron ordered vertices $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ gives rise to a permutation of opposite pairs of edges $((12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23))$. Thus the kernel K of φ consists of four elements 1234, 2143, 4321, 3412, and is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_4 of a square automorphisms, which is also called the *Klein* group. There are six left cosets of K, and the cosets have the following representatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(1234 \cdot K) = \varphi(K) &= 123 \\ \varphi(2134 \cdot K) = \varphi(1243 \cdot K) &= 132 \\ \varphi(3214 \cdot K) = \varphi(1432 \cdot K) &= 321 \\ \varphi(4231 \cdot K) = \varphi(1324 \cdot K) &= 213 \\ \varphi(2431 \cdot K) &= 231 \\ \varphi(3241 \cdot K) &= 312. \end{aligned}$$

One easily checks that the map M_d is equivariant with respect to the *signed* cross-ratio homomorphism φ, i.e.

$$M_d(\pi P) = \operatorname{sign}(\pi) \varphi(\pi) M_d(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$ and every $\pi \in S_4$, where $\operatorname{sign} : S_4 \to \{\pm 1\}$ the homomorphism that associates to every odd permutation the sign "-1".

3 Sub-Möbius structures

3.1 Definition

A *sub-Möbius structure* on a set X is given by a map $M : \mathcal{P}_4 \to \overline{L}_4$, which satisfies the following conditions

(a) M is equivariant with respect to the signed cross-ratio homomorphism φ, i.e.
$$M(\pi P) = \operatorname{sign}(\pi)\varphi(\pi)M(P)$$
for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$ and every $\pi \in S_4$.

(b) $M(P) \in L_4$ if and only if P is nondegenerate, $P \in \operatorname{reg}\mathcal{P}_4$;

(c) $M(P) = (0, \infty, -\infty)$ for $P = (x_1, x_1, x_3, x_4) \in \mathcal{P}_4$.

It follows from (b) that $M(P) \in \overline{L}_4 \backslash L_4$ if and only if $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$ is degenerate. Using (a) and (c) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1, x_1, x_3, x_4) = M(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_3) = (0, \infty, -\infty) = A \\ M(x_1, x_2, x_1, x_4) = M(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_2) = (-\infty, 0, \infty) = B \\ M(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1) = M(x_1, x_2, x_2, x_4) = (\infty, -\infty, 0) = C. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *For every semi-metric d on X the Möbius structure M_d associated with d is a sub-Möbius structure.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check properties (a)–(c) for M_d. □

3.2 Functions associated with a sub-Möbius structure

Let X be a set. Given an ordered tuple $P = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ we use notation
$$P_i = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k)$$
for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We define the set $\mathcal{P}_n$ of the *admissible* n-tuples in X, $n \geq 5$, recurrently by asking for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ the $(n-1)$-tuples P_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, to be admissible. The set $\operatorname{sing}\mathcal{P}_n$ of n-tuples $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ having equal entries is called the *singular* subset of $\mathcal{P}_n$. Its complement $\operatorname{reg}\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_n \backslash \operatorname{sing}\mathcal{P}_n$ is called the *regular* subset of $\mathcal{P}_n$. Note that $\operatorname{reg}\mathcal{P}_n$ coincides with the set of nondegenerate n-tuples.

A triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ of pairwise distinct points is called a *scale triple*. A 5-tuple $P = (x, y, A) = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, where $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega)$ is a scale

triple, is admissible if and only if the cases $x = y = \alpha$, $x = y = \beta$, $x = y = \omega$ are excluded. Then the 4-tuples

$$P_1 = (y, \alpha, \beta, \omega),\ P_2 = (x, \alpha, \beta, \omega),\ P_3 = (x, y, \beta, \omega),\ P_4 = (x, y, \alpha, \omega)$$

are admissible. For a sub-Möbius structure M on X we use notation $M(P_i) = (a(P_i), b(P_i), c(P_i))$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a sub-Möbius structure on X, $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ a scale triple, $P = (x, y, A) \in \mathcal{P}_5$. Then*

$b(P_1) + b(P_4)$ is well defined if and only if $y \neq \alpha$;

$-a(P_1) + b(P_3)$ is well defined if and only if $y \neq \beta$.

Proof. If $y = \alpha$, then $b(P_1) = \infty$, $b(P_4) = -\infty$. Hence $b(P_1) + b(P_4)$ is not well defined. Similarly, if $y = \beta$, then $a(P_1) = -\infty = b(P_3)$, hence $-a(P_1) + b(P_3)$ is not well defined.

In the opposite direction, assume $y \neq \alpha$. Then $M(P_1) \in \overline{L}_4 \setminus L_4$ if and only if $y = \beta$ or $y = \omega$. If $y = \beta$, then $b(P_1) = 0$, and $b(P_1) + b(P_4)$ is well defined. If $y = \omega$, then $b(P_4) = 0$, and $b(P_1) + b(P_4)$ is well defined.

Assume $y \neq \beta$. Then $M(P_1) \in \overline{L}_4 \setminus L_4$ if and only if $y = \alpha$ or $y = \omega$. If $y = \alpha$, then $a(P_1) = 0$, and $-a(P_1) + b(P_3)$ is well defined. If $y = \omega$, then $b(P_3) = 0$, and $-a(P_1) + b(P_3)$ is well defined. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a sub-Möbius structure on X, $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ a scale triple, $P = (x, y, A) \in \mathcal{P}_5$. Then $-a(P_4) + b(P_1)$ is well defined if and only if $y \neq \alpha, \omega$.*

Proof. If $y = \alpha$, then $b(P_1) = \infty$, $a(P_4) = \infty$. Hence $-a(P_4) + b(P_1)$ is not well defined. Similarly, if $y = \omega$, then $b(P_1) = -\infty = a(P_4)$, hence $-a(P_4) + b(P_1)$ is not well defined.

In the opposite direction, assume $y \neq \alpha, \omega$. Then $M(P_1) \in \overline{L}_4 \setminus L_4$ if and only if $y = \beta$. In this case $b(P_1) = 0$, thus $-a(P_4) + b(P_1)$ is well defined. □

For a sub-Möbius structure M on X we define functions which play an important role in a number of questions, see sect. 3.3 and sect. 4. We let $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega)$ be a scale triple in X. In all cases when a 5-tuple $P = (x, y, A)$ is not admissible, we put $d^{\alpha}_A(x, y) = d^{\beta}_A(x, y) = 0$. Assume that $P = (x, y, A)$ is an admissible 5-tuple. We put

$$d^{\alpha}_A(x, y) = e^{-b(P_1) - b(P_4)} \text{ if } y \neq \alpha,$$

$$d^{\beta}_A(x, y) = e^{a(P_1) - b(P_3)} \text{ if } y \neq \beta.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that both $d_A^\alpha(x,y)$, $d_A^\beta(x,y) \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ are well defined. We use notation $X_\omega = X \setminus \{\omega\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *The functions d_A^α, d_A^β defined above vanish on the diagonal, $d_A^\alpha(x,x) = 0 = d_A^\beta(x,x)$ for every $x \in X$, the point ω is infinitely remote for d_A^α, d_A^β, $d_A^\alpha(x,\omega) = d_A^\beta(x,\omega) = \infty$ for every $x \in X_\omega$, $d_A^\alpha(\omega,x) = \infty$ for $x \neq \alpha, \omega$, $d_A^\beta(\omega,x) = \infty$ for $x \neq \beta, \omega$, and $d_A^\alpha(x,y), d_A^\beta(x,y) \neq 0$ for each $x \neq y$ whenever the functions are well defined.*

Proof. Assume a 5-tuple $P = (x, x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ is admissible. Then $x \neq \alpha, \beta, \omega$ and thus $M(P_1) \in L_4$, $M(P_3) = M(P_4) = (0, \infty, -\infty)$. Hence $d_A^\alpha(x,x) = 0 = d_A^\beta(x,x)$ for all $x \neq \alpha, \beta, \omega$. Using the definition $d_A^\alpha(x,y) = 0 = d_A^\beta(x,y)$ for the case when the 5-tuple $P = (x, y, A)$ is not admissible, we obtain $d_A^\alpha(x,x) = 0 = d_A^\beta(x,x)$ for all $x \in X$.

For the 5-tuple $P = (x, \omega, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ we have $P_1 = (\omega, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $P_4 = (x, \omega, \alpha, \omega)$, $P_3 = (x, \omega, \beta, \omega)$. Thus $M(P_1) = (\infty, -\infty, 0)$, $M(P_3) = M(P_4) = (-\infty, 0, \infty)$. If $x \in X_\omega$, then P is admissible. We conclude that $d_A^\alpha(x,\omega) = \infty = d_A^\beta(x,\omega)$ for every $x \in X_\omega$. Furthermore, the 5-tuple $P = (\omega, x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ with $x \in X_\omega$ is admissible and for $P_4 = (\omega, x, \alpha, \omega)$, $P_3 = (\omega, x, \beta, \omega)$ we have $M(P_3) = M(P_4) = (\infty, -\infty, 0)$. Thus $d_A^\alpha(\omega,x) = \infty$ for $x \neq \alpha, \omega$ and $d_A^\beta(\omega,x) = \infty$ for $x \neq \beta, \omega$.

Assume $d_A^\alpha(x,y) = 0$ for an admissible 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $y \neq \alpha$. Then $M(P_1) \neq (0, \infty, -\infty)$, hence $b(P_4) = \infty$, thus $M(P_4) = (0, \infty, -\infty)$, and we conclude that $x = y$.

Assume $d_A^\beta(x,y) = 0$ for an admissible 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $y \neq \beta$. Then $M(P_1) \neq (-\infty, 0, \infty)$, hence $b(P_3) = \infty$, thus $M(P_3) = (0, \infty, -\infty)$, and we conclude that $x = y$. □

3.3 Sub-Möbius structure vs Möbius structure

Theorem 3.5. *A sub-Möbius structure M on X is a Möbius structure if and only if for every scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ and every admissible 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ the following conditions (A), (B) are satisfied*

(A) $b(P_1) + b(P_4) = b(P_3) - a(P_1)$ *for all* $y \in X$, $y \neq \alpha, \beta$;

(B) $b(P_2) = -a(P_4) + b(P_1)$, *where* $y \neq \alpha, \omega$.

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that the both sides of (A) are well defined, and from Lemma 3.3 that the both sides of the equality (B) are also well defined.

We prove Theorem 3.5 in three steps. On the first step we define a function $d = d_A : X \times X \to \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ for a fixed scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega)$. Then

Lemma 3.4 implies that d vanishes on the diagonal, is positive outside of the diagonal, and ω is infinitely remote for d.

On the second step, Lemma 3.6, we show that d is symmetric, $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$ for every x, $y \in X$, and therefore d is a semi-metric. On the final step, Lemmas 3.7, 3.8, 3.10 and Corollary 3.9, we show that the Möbius structure M_d associated with d coincides with the initial sub-Möbius structure, $M_d = M$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. It is straightforward to check that every Möbius structure M, $M = M_d$ for some semi-metric d on X, satisfies (A) and (B).

Assume that a sub-Möbius structure M satisfies (A) and (B). We fix a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$. One should think of ω as the infinitely remote point, and of the pair α, β as a "measuring rod" which fixes the scale in X. We define a function $d : X \times X \to \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$, $d = d_A$, as follows. We put $d(\alpha,\alpha) = 0$, $d(\beta,\beta) = 0$, $d(\omega,\omega) = 0$. For $x, y \in X$ such that the 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ is admissible we put

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} d_A^\alpha(x,y) = e^{-b(P_1)-b(P_4)} & y \neq \alpha \\ d_A^\beta(x,y) = e^{a(P_1)-b(P_3)} & y \neq \beta \end{cases}. \tag{2}$$

If $y \neq \alpha, \beta$, then the right hand sides of (2) are well defined and they coincide by condition (A). By Lemma 3.4 the function d vanishes on the diagonal, is positive outside of the diagonal, and ω is infinitely remote for d.

Lemma 3.6. *The function $d = d_A$ is a semi-metric with $d(\alpha,\beta) = 1$ for every scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$.*

Proof. For an admissible 5-tuple $P = (x, \alpha, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ we have $P_3 = (x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $P_1 = (\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$. Thus $a(P_1) = 0$. Hence using (2) we obtain

$$d(x,\alpha) = e^{-b(P_3)} = e^{-b(x,\alpha,\beta,\omega)}$$

for every $x \neq \alpha$. Furthermore, for the 5-tuple $P = (\alpha, x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ we have $P_4 = (\alpha, x, \alpha, \omega)$, $P_1 = (x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$. Thus $b(P_4) = 0$ and using (2) we obtain for $x \neq \alpha$,

$$d(\alpha,x) = e^{-b(P_1)} = e^{-b(x,\alpha,\beta,\omega)}.$$

Thus $d(x,\alpha) = d(\alpha,x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Similarly, for an admissible 5-tuple $P = (x, \beta, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ we have $P_4 = (x, \beta, \alpha, \omega)$, $P_1 = (\beta, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$. Thus $b(P_1) = 0$ and using (2) we obtain

$$d(x,\beta) = e^{-b(P_4)} = e^{-b(x,\beta,\alpha,\omega)}$$

for every $x \neq \beta$. Furthermore, for the 5-tuple $P = (\beta, x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ we have $P_3 = (\beta, x, \beta, \omega)$, $P_1 = (x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$. Thus $b(P_3) = 0$ and using (2) we obtain for $x \neq \beta$,

$$d(\beta, x) = e^{a(P_1)} = e^{a(x,\alpha,\beta,\omega)}.$$

Since $b(x, \beta, \alpha, \omega) = -a(x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ by equivariance of M with respect to the signed cross-ratio homomorphism, we have $d(x, \beta) = d(\beta, x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Now, we show that $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$. By computation above, we can assume that the 5-tuples $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $P' = (y, x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ are non-degenerate and hence admissible. By (2) we have $d(y, x) = e^{-b(P'_1)-b(P'_4)}$. By equivariance of M we have $M(P'_4) = (-a, -c, -b)$, where $M(P_4) = (a, b, c)$. Thus $b(P'_4) = -c(P_4)$. Using $P'_1 = P_2$ and condition (B) we obtain $-b(P'_1) - b(P'_4) = -b(P_2) + c(P_4) = a(P_4) - b(P_1) + c(P_4) = -b(P_1) - b(P_4)$ because $a(P_4) + b(P_4) + c(P_4) = 0$. Hence $d(y, x) = d(x, y)$. Therefore, the function $d : X \times X \to \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is a semi-metric with infinite remote point ω.

The 5-tuple $P = (\alpha, \beta, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ is admissible with $P_1 = (\beta, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $P_4 = (\alpha, \beta, \alpha, \omega)$. Thus $M(P_1) = (-\infty, 0, \infty) = M(P_4)$ and $b(P_1) = 0 = b(P_4)$. By (2), $d(\alpha, \beta) = 1$. □

It remains to check that $M_d = M$. The conditions (a), (b), (c) of sect. 3.1 are satisfied for M_d. Thus $M_d(P) = M(P)$ for every degenerate $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$.

Lemma 3.7. *Given a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega)$ and an admissible 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, for the 4-tuples $Q = P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4$ we have $M_d(Q) = M(Q)$ for the semi-metric $d = d_A$.*

Proof. We shall use that for $Q = (x, y, z, \omega) \in \mathcal{P}_4$

$$M_d(Q) = ((y|z) - (x|z), (x|y) - (y|z), (x|z) - (x|y)) \in \overline{L}_4$$

because ω is infinitely remote for d. By remark before the lemma we can assume that the 4-tuples P_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are nondegenerate.

For $P_2 = (x, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ we put $M(P_2) = (a, b, c) \in L_4$. The 5-tuples $P' = (x, \alpha, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $P'' = (x, \beta, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ are admissible and $a(P'_1) = 0$, $b(P'_3) = b(P_2) = b$, $b(P''_1) = 0$, $b(P''_4) = b(x, \beta, \alpha, \omega) = -a(x, \alpha, \beta, \omega) = -a$. By (2) we have

$$(x|\alpha) = -a(P'_1) + b(P'_3) = b,$$

and

$$(x|\beta) = b(P''_1) + b(P''_4) = -a.$$

Thus $M_d(P_2) = ((\alpha|\beta) - (x|\beta), (x|\alpha) - (\alpha|\beta), (x|\beta) - (x|\alpha)) = (a, b, -a-b) = (a, b, c) = M(P_2)$. Similarly, we obtain $M_d(P_1) = M(P_1)$. In particular, $(x|\alpha) = b(P_2)$, $(x|\beta) = -a(P_2)$, $(y|\alpha) = b(P_1)$, $(y|\beta) = -a(P_1)$.

For $P_4 = (x, y, \alpha, \omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_d(P_4) &= ((y|\alpha) - (x|\alpha), (x|y) - (y|\alpha), (x|\alpha) - (x|y)) \\ &= (b(P_1) - b(P_2), (x|y) - b(P_1), b(P_2) - (x|y)). \end{aligned}$$

Condition (B) yields $a(P_4) = b(P_1) - b(P_2)$. By (2) we have $(x|y) = b(P_1) + b(P_4)$, thus $b(P_4) = (x|y) - b(P_1)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} M(P_4) &= (a(P_4), b(P_4), c(P_4)) \\ &= (b(P_1) - b(P_2), (x|y) - b(P_1), c(P_4)) = M_d(P_4). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $M_d(P_3) = M(P_3)$ for $P_3 = (x, y, \beta, \omega)$. □

Lemma 3.8. *For scale triples $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $A' = (\beta, \alpha, \omega)$, $A'' = (\alpha, \omega, \beta)$ we have $d := d_A = d_{A'}$ and $d' = d_{A''}$ is the metric inversion of d with respect to β of radius 1,*

$$d'(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{d(x, \beta)d(y, \beta)}$$

for all x, $y \in X$ that are not equal to β simultaneously. In particular, d' is Möbius equivalent to d and $M_{d'} = M_d$.

Proof. For the nondegenerate 5-tuples $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ and $P' = (x, y, \alpha, \omega, \beta)$ we have $P'_1 = \pi \circ P_1$, $P'_3 = \pi \circ P_3$, where π is the permutation 1243. Using that $M(P_1) = M_d(P_1)$, $M(P_3) = M_d(P_3)$ by Lemma 3.7, we obtain

$$M(P'_1) = M \circ \pi(P_1) = \operatorname{sign}(\pi)\varphi(\pi)M(P_1) = \operatorname{sign}(\pi)\varphi(\pi)M_d(P_1) = M_d(P'_1)$$

and similarly $M(P'_3) = M_d(P'_3)$. Since ω is infinitely remote for d and $(\alpha|\beta) = -\ln d(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(P'_1) &= ((y|\beta), (y|\alpha) - (y|\beta), -(y|\alpha)) \\ M(P'_3) &= ((x|\beta) - (y|\beta), (x|y) - (x|\beta), (y|\beta) - (x|y)). \end{aligned}$$

Then for the semi-metric $d' = d_{A''}$ we have by (2)

$$d'(x, y) = e^{a(P'_1) - b(P'_3)} = e^{(y|\beta) - (x|y) + (x|\beta)} = \frac{d(x, y)}{d(x, \beta)d(y, \beta)},$$

i.e. d' is the metric inversion of d with respect to β of radius 1. The proof of the equality $d_A = d_{A'}$ is similar. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let $d = d_A$ be the semi-metric associated with the scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$, and let $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ be an admissible 5-tuple. Then $M_d(P_5) = M(P_5)$.*

Proof. We put $P' = (x, y, \alpha, \omega, \beta)$ and note that $M_{d'}(P'_4) = M(P'_4)$ for $d' = d_{(\alpha,\omega,\beta)}$ by Lemma 3.7. Since $M_d = M_{d'}$ by Lemma 3.8 and $P'_4 = (x, y, \alpha, \beta) = P_5$, we have $M_d(P_5) = M_{d'}(P'_4) = M(P_5)$. □

Lemma 3.10. *Given a nondegenerate 4-tuple $(\alpha, \beta, \omega, o) \in \mathcal{P}_4$, the semi-metrics $d_{(\alpha,\beta,\omega)}$ and $d_{(\alpha,\beta,o)}$ are Möbius equivalent, $d_{(\alpha,\beta,\omega)} \sim d_{(\alpha,\beta,o)}$.*

Proof. Using that $d_{(\alpha,\beta,\omega)} \sim d_{(\alpha,\omega,\beta)}$ by Lemma 3.8, it suffices to show that the semi-metrics $d = d_{(\alpha,\omega,\beta)}$ and $d' = d_{(\alpha,o,\beta)}$ are Möbius equivalent.

We take x, $y \in X$ such that the 5-tuples $P = (x, y, \alpha, \omega, \beta)$ and $P' = (x, y, \alpha, o, \beta)$ are nondegenerate. The 4-tuple $P'_1 = (y, \alpha, o, \beta)$ is obtained from $Q' = (y, o, \alpha, \beta) = (y, o, \alpha, \beta, \omega)_5$ by the permutation $\pi = 1324$. By Corollary 3.9, $M(Q') = M_{d_{(\alpha,\beta,\omega)}}(Q') = M_d(Q')$. Thus

$$M(P'_1) = M_d(P'_1) = ((\alpha|o) - (y|o), (y|\alpha) - (\alpha|o), (y|o) - (y|\alpha))$$

because β is infinitely remote for d. Note that $P_4 = (x, y, \alpha, \beta) = P'_4$. Hence

$$M(P'_4) = M_d(P_4) = ((y|\alpha) - (x|\alpha), (x|y) - (y|\alpha), (x|\alpha) - (x|y)).$$

Thus using (2) we obtain

$$d'(x, y) = e^{-b(P'_1)-b(P'_4)} = e^{(\alpha|o)-(x|y)} = \frac{d(x, y)}{d(\alpha, o)}.$$

Therefore $d' = \lambda d$ for $\lambda = 1/d(\alpha, o)$, and the semi-metrics d and d' are Möbius equivalent. □

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.5 it suffices to show that $M_d(Q) = M(Q)$ for $d = d_{(\alpha,\beta,\omega)}$ and any nondegenerate 4-tuple $Q = (x, y, z, u) \in \operatorname{reg} \mathcal{P}_4$ with all entries x, y, z, u different from α, β, ω. Applying Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.10 we have

$$d \sim d_{(\alpha,\omega,\beta)} \sim d_{(\alpha,\omega,z)} \sim d_{(z,\alpha,\omega)}.$$

Repeating we obtain $d \sim d'$ for $d' = d_{(z,u,\omega)}$. Hence $M(Q) = M_{d'}(Q) = M_d(Q)$. □

4 Sub-Möbius structures and topology

Since a semi-metric d on X not necessarily satisfies the triangle inequality, the open balls in X with respect to d not necessarily form a base of a topology on X. Nevertheless, we can still use the open balls to determine a topology.

Recall that a collection $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets of X is called a *subbase* of a topology τ on X if finite intersections of elements of $\mathcal{B}$ form a base of τ. For any collection $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets there is a unique topology on X with subbase $\mathcal{B}$.

Let M be a Möbius structure on a set X. For any scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ there is a uniquely determined semi-metric d_A with infinitely remote point ω such that $d_A(\alpha, \beta) = 1$.

The *M-topology* on X is determined by the subbase $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(M)$ consisting of all open balls, $B_{A,t}(y) = \{x \in X : d_A(x, y) < t\}$, where $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ is a scale triple, $t > 0$, $y \in X_\omega = X \setminus \{\omega\}$. Applying a metric inversion we see that complements of closed balls $C_{A,t}(y) = \{x \in X : d_A(x, y) > t\}$, $y \in X_\omega$, are also members of the subbase $\mathcal{B}$. The M-topology associated with a Möbius structure M is also called a *semi-metric topology*.

Every sub-Möbius structure M induces on X a canonical topology. Its definition is justified by Proposition 4.4 below and Theorem 3.5. We fix a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega)$. For every $y \in X_\omega$, $y \neq \alpha$ and $t > 0$ we set

$$B^\alpha_{A,t}(y) = \{x \in X : d^\alpha_A(x, y) < t\},$$

the open α-ball with respect to A of radius t centered at y. Note that $\omega \notin B^\alpha_{A,t}(y)$ for any $t > 0$ because $d^\alpha_A(\omega, y) = \infty$ by Lemma 3.4.

Similarly, for every $y \in X_\omega$, $y \neq \beta$ and $t > 0$ we set

$$B^\beta_{A,t}(y) = \{x \in X : d^\beta_A(x, y) < t\},$$

the open β-ball with respect to A of radius t centered at y. As above, $\omega \notin B^\beta_{A,t}(y)$.

The topology on X with the subbase $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(M)$ consisting of all open α- and β-balls with respect to all scale triples $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ is called the M-topology. Given a sub-Möbius structure M on X, we always assume that X is a topological space with the M-topology.

Lemma 4.1. *Given a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$, for every $y \neq \alpha, \omega$ and every $x \in X_\omega$ such that the 5-tuple $P = (x, y, A)$ is admissible, we have*

$$d^\alpha_A(x, y) \cdot d^\alpha_{A'}(x, \omega) = \lambda,$$

where $A' = (\alpha, \omega, y)$ and $\lambda = \lambda(y, A) > 0$ is independent of x.

Furthermore, for every $y \neq \beta, \omega$ and every $x \in X_\omega$ such that the 5-tuple $P = (x, y, A)$ is admissible, we have

$$d^\beta_A(x, y) \cdot d^\beta_{A''}(x, \omega) = \mu,$$

where $A'' = (\omega, \beta, y)$ and $\mu = \mu(y, A) > 0$ is independent of x.

Proof. We assume that $y \neq \alpha, \omega$ and the 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ is admissible with $x \in X_\omega$. Then the 5-tuple $P' = (x, \omega, \alpha, \omega, y)$ is admissible. We have $M(P_1') = M(\omega, \alpha, \omega, y) = (-\infty, 0, \infty)$, thus $b(P_1') = 0$. Furthermore $M(P_4') = M(x, \omega, \alpha, y) = M(\pi P_4)$ for $\pi = 1432$. Since $\varphi(\pi) = 321$, $\operatorname{sign}(\pi) = -1$, we obtain $b(P_4) + b(P_4') = 0$. Therefore

$$d_A^\alpha(x, y) \cdot d_{A'}^\alpha(x, \omega) = \exp\{-(b(P_1) + b(P_4) + b(P_1') + b(P_4'))\} = \lambda,$$

where $\lambda = e^{-b(P_1)} > 0$ depends only on y, A.

The proof of the second equality is similar with $P' = (x, \omega, \omega, \beta, y)$ and $\mu = e^{a(P_1)}$. □

For a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ and every $t > 0$ we set

$$C_{A,t}^\alpha(y) = \{x \in X : d_A^\alpha(x, y) > t\},\ y \neq \alpha, \omega$$

and

$$C_{A,t}^\beta(y) = \{x \in X : d_A^\beta(x, y) > t\},\ y \neq \beta, \omega.$$

Lemma 4.2. *The sets $C_{A,t}^\alpha(y)$ for $y \neq \alpha, \omega$ and $C_{A,t}^\beta(y)$ for $y \neq \beta, \omega$ are members of the subbase $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(M)$.*

Proof. First we note that for $y \neq \alpha, \omega$ a 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$ is admissible for every $x \in C_{A,t}^\alpha(y)$. Indeed, if $y \neq \beta$, then P is admissible for every $x \in X$. Assume that $y = \beta$. Then P is not admissible only for $x = \beta$. In that case $d_A^\alpha(x, y) = d_A^\alpha(\beta, \beta) = 0$ by definition. Hence P is admissible for every $x \in C_{A,t}^\alpha(y)$.

Assume $y \neq \alpha, \omega$. Then for the scale triple $A' = (\alpha, \omega, y)$ and $x \in X_\omega$ we have by Lemma 4.1 $d_A^\alpha(x, y) > t$ if and only if $d_{A'}^\alpha(x, \omega) < \lambda/t$ for $\lambda = \lambda(y, A) > 0$, and $d_A^\alpha(\omega, y) = \infty$, $d_{A'}^\alpha(\omega, \omega) = 0$. Thus $C_{A,t}^\alpha(y) = B_{A',\lambda/t}^\alpha(\omega)$ is a member of $\mathcal{B}$.

Similarly, for $t > 0$, $y \neq \beta, \omega$, and the scale triple $A'' = (\omega, \beta, y)$ the set $C_{A,t}^\beta(y) = B_{A'',\mu/t}^\beta(\omega)$, where $\mu = \mu(y, A) > 0$, is a member of $\mathcal{B}$. □

Corollary 4.3. *For every scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ the functions $x \mapsto d_A^\alpha(x, y), d_A^\beta(x, y)$ are continuous on X whenever they are well defined.*

Proof. The both functions take values in $[0, \infty]$. For any open interval $I \subset [0, \infty]$ the inverse image is an intersection of two sets $B_{A,s}^\alpha(y) \cap C_{A',t}^\alpha(y)$ for $A' = (\alpha, \omega, y)$ or $B_{A,s}^\beta(y) \cap C_{A'',t}^\beta(y)$ for $A'' = (\omega, \beta, y)$ and appropriate s, $t \in [0, \infty]$ with $s > t$, where the sets $B_{A,s}^\alpha(y)$, $B_{A,s}^\beta(y)$, $C_{A',t}^\alpha(y)$, $C_{A'',t}^\beta(y)$ coincide with X for $s = \infty$ and $t = 0$. By Lemma 4.2 these intersections are open in the M-topology. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let M be a Möbius structure on X. Then the semi-metric topology on X associated with M coincides with the M-topology associated with M regarded as a sub-Möbius structure.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_d$ be the subbase of the semi-metric topology, $\mathcal{B}$ the subbase of the M-topology associated with M regarded as a sub-Möbius structure. We show that $\mathcal{B}_d = \mathcal{B}$.

Recall, that for a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ there is a uniquely determined semi-metric d with infinitely remote point ω such that $d(\alpha, \beta) = 1$. We use notation $(x|y) = -\ln d(x, y)$ for all x, $y \in X$.

Consider an admissible 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(P_1) &= (-(y|\beta), (y|\alpha), (y|\beta) - (y|\alpha)), \\ M(P_3) &= ((y|\beta) - (x|\beta), (x|y) - (y|\beta), (x|\beta) - (x|y)), \\ M(P_4) &= ((y|\alpha) - (x|\alpha), (x|y) - (y|\alpha), (x|\alpha) - (x|y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $y \neq \alpha, \omega$ we have $d^\alpha_A(x, y) = e^{-b(P_1)-b(P_4)} = d(x, y)$ and for $y \neq \beta, \omega$ we have $d^\beta_A(x, y) = e^{a(P_1)-b(P_3)} = d(x, y)$. Hence open balls $B_t(y) = B^\alpha_{A,t}(y) = B^\beta_{A,t}(y)$. Thus $\mathcal{B}_d = \mathcal{B}$. □

5 Hyperbolic spaces and sub-Möbius structures

5.1 Canonical sub-Möbius structure on $\partial_\infty Y$

Let Y be a Gromov hyperbolic space. We describe a canonical sub-Möbius structure on its boundary at infinity $X = \partial_\infty Y$. Recall that the Gromov product of points y, $y' \in Y$ with respect to a base point $o \in Y$ is defined by

$$(y|y')_o = \frac{1}{2}(|yo| + |y'o| - |yy'|),$$

where $|yy'|$ is the distance between y, y'.

Given an admissible 4-tuple $P = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in X^4$, we take sequences $\{a_i\} \in \alpha$, $\{b_i\} \in \beta$, $\{c_i\} \in \gamma$, $\{d_i\} \in \delta$, fix $o \in Y$ and consider the cross-difference

$$\mathrm{cd}(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) = (a_i|d_i)_o + (b_i|c_i)_o - (a_i|c_i)_o - (b_i|d_i)_o$$

(if some entry occurs in $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ twice, then in both cases we take one and the same sequence representing the entry). This expressions is independent of o, and we put

$$\mathrm{cd}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \sup \liminf_i \mathrm{cd}(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i),$$

where the supremum is taken over all sequences $\{a_i\} \in \alpha$, $\{b_i\} \in \beta$, $\{c_i\} \in \gamma$, $\{d_i\} \in \delta$. Note that if $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is degenerate, then $\lim_i \mathrm{cd}((a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$ takes values $0, \pm\infty$ and is well defined. Thus $\mathrm{cd}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is well defined, and it takes infinite values $\pm\infty$ only if $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ degenerates. For example, $\mathrm{cd}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma, \delta) = 0$, $\mathrm{cd}(\alpha, \beta, \alpha, \delta) = -\infty$ and $\mathrm{cd}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha) = \infty$.

Now, we put

$$\widetilde{M}(P) = (\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, \widetilde{w}) \in \overline{L}_4,$$

where $\widetilde{u} = \mathrm{cd}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, $\widetilde{v} = \mathrm{cd}(\alpha, \gamma, \delta, \beta)$, $\widetilde{w} = -\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{v}$. By properties of the Gromov product in hyperbolic spaces we have $\widetilde{M}(P) \in L_4$ for every nondegenerate P, $P \in \mathrm{reg}\, \mathcal{P}_4$, where $\mathcal{P}_4 = \mathcal{P}_4(X)$. In the case $P = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is degenerate, we have by discussion above

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{M}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma, \delta) &= \widetilde{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \gamma) = (0, \infty, -\infty) \\
\widetilde{M}(\alpha, \beta, \alpha, \delta) &= \widetilde{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \beta) = (-\infty, 0, \infty) \\
\widetilde{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha) &= \widetilde{M}(\alpha, \beta, \beta, \delta) = (\infty, -\infty, 0),
\end{aligned}$$

in particular,

$$\widetilde{M}(\pi(P)) = \mathrm{sign}(\pi)\varphi(\pi)\widetilde{M}(P)$$

for every degenerate $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$ and every $\pi \in S_4$.

Finally, we define

$$M(P) := \frac{1}{|S_4|} \sum_{\rho \in S_4} \mathrm{sign}(\rho)\varphi(\rho^{-1})\widetilde{M}(\rho(P)).$$

Then $M : \mathcal{P}_4 \to \overline{L}_4$ is equivariant with respect to the signed cross-ratio homomorphism,

$$M(\pi(P)) = \mathrm{sign}(\pi)\varphi(\pi)M(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$, $\pi \in S_4$, and $M(P) = \widetilde{M}(P)$ for every degenerate $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$. Therefore M is a sub-Möbius structure on $X = \partial_\infty Y$, which is called the *canonical* sub-Möbius structure associated with Y. Though M is defined by fixing $o \in Y$, it is independent of the choice of o and hence M is invariant under the action of any isometry group of Y, $M(\gamma(P)) = M(P)$ for every isometry $\gamma : Y \to Y$ extended to $\partial_\infty Y$ and every $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$.

5.2 Subbases of the standard topology on $\partial_\infty Y$

The Gromov product of points α, $\beta \in X$ with respect to $o \in Y$ is defined as

$$(\alpha|\beta)_o = \inf \liminf_i (a_i|b_i)_o,$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences $\{a_i\} \in \alpha$, $\{b_i\} \in \beta$. For $x \in X$, $t > 0$ the sets

$$U_{t,o}(x) = \{y \in X : (x|y)_o > t\}$$

form for every $o \in Y$ the base of neighborhoods of x in the *standard* topology on $X = \partial_\infty Y$ with subbase $\mathcal{B}_o = \{U_{t,o}(x) : x \in X, t > 0\}$. This topology does not depend on the choice of o.

Instead of the Gromov product on X with respect to $o \in Y$, it is convenient to use the Gromov product with respect to a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$, which is defined as follows

$$(x|y)_{A,o} := (x|y)_\omega - (\alpha|\beta)_\omega,$$

where $(x|y)_\omega := (x|y)_o - (x|\omega)_o - (y|\omega)_o$. This corresponds to the metric inversion

$$d_{A,o}(x,y) = r^2 d_\omega(x,y) = \frac{r^2 d_o(x,y)}{d_o(x,\omega) d_o(y,\omega)}$$

with $r^2 = 1/d_\omega(\alpha,\beta)$, where $d_o(x,y) = e^{-(x|y)_o}$, $d_{A,o}(x,y) = e^{-(x|y)_{A,o}}$.

Lemma 5.1. *For every $o \in Y$ the sets $U_{A,t,o}(y) = \{x \in X : (x|y)_{A,o} > t\}$, $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ is a scale triple, $y \in X_\omega$, $t > 0$, form a subbase of the standard topology on $X = \partial_\infty Y$.*

Proof. The Gromov product $(x|x')_o$ on X satisfies h-inequality, where $h \geq 0$ is the hyperbolicity constant of Y, that is, for arbitrary x, y, $z \in X$ two lowest members of the triple $(x|y)_o$, $(x|z)_o$, $(y|z)_o$ differ from each other at most by h, see [BS, Lemma 2.2.2]. Thus for a fixed scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$, $y \in X_\omega$ and $t > (y|\omega)_o + h$ we have $|(x|\omega)_o - (y|\omega)_o| \leq h$ for every $x \in X$ with $(x|y)_o > t$. It follows that

$$(x|y)_{A,o} = (x|y)_o - (x|\omega)_o - (y|\omega)_o - (\alpha|\beta)_\omega \geq (x|y)_o - c$$

for $c = 2(y|\omega)_o + |(\alpha|\beta)_\omega| + h$. Since $(x|y)_{A,o} \leq (x|y)_o - (\alpha|\beta)_\omega \leq (x|y)_o + c$, we obtain

$$U_{A,t+c,o}(y) \subset U_{t,o}(y) \subset U_{A,t-c,o}(y) \tag{3}$$

for every $t > (y|\omega)_o + h$.

Let τ be the standard topology on X, τ' the topology with the subbase formed by the sets $U_{A,t,o}$. By Corollary 4.3, the function $x \mapsto d_{A,o}(x,y)$ is continuous on X in τ' for every $y \in X_\omega = X \setminus \{\omega\}$. In particular, $(z|y)_{A,o} \to (x|y)_{A,o}$ as $z \to x$ in τ'. Thus for every $x \in U_{A,t,o}(y)$ there is $s > t$ such that $U_{A,s,o}(x) \subset U_{A,t,o}(y)$. Using (3), we obtain $U_{s+c,o}(x) \subset U_{A,t,o}(y)$. That is, every set in X open in τ' is open in the standard topology.

In the opposite direction, let $t_0 = \inf(x|y)_o$, where the infimum is taken over all $x,\ y \in X$. Given $y \in X$, $t > t_0 + h$, we take a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ with $t > (y|\omega)_o + h$. Then $\omega \notin U_{t,o}(y)$. The function $x \mapsto (x|y)_o$ on X is continuous in τ, thus for every $x \in U_{t,o}(y)$ there is $s > t$ such that $U_{s,o}(x) \subset U_{t,o}(y)$. Using (3), we obtain $U_{A,s+c,o}(x) \subset U_{t,o}(y)$, where $c = |(\alpha|\beta)_\omega|$. This shows that $\tau' = \tau$. □

5.3 Sub-Möbius structure M and the standard topology

Proposition 5.2. *Let Y be a Gromov hyperbolic space. For the canonical sub-Möbius structure M on $X = \partial_\infty Y$, the M-topology coincides with the standard topology of X.*

Remark 5.3. Assume Y is *boundary continuous*, that is for every $\alpha, \beta \in \partial_\infty X$, $o \in X$ and arbitrary sequences $\{a_i\} \in \alpha$, $\{b_i\} \in \beta$ there exists the limit $\lim_i (a_i|b_i)_o = (\alpha|\beta)_o$. For example, every CAT(-1) is boundary continuous, see [BS, sect. 3.4.2]. It follows that

$$\mathrm{cd}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (\alpha|\delta)_o + (\beta|\gamma)_o - (\alpha|\gamma)_o - (\beta|\delta)_o$$

for every admissible 4-tuple $P = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \subset X$. Then $\widetilde{M} : \mathcal{P}_4 \to \overline{L}_4$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is defined in sect. 5.1, is equivariant with respect to the signed cross-ratio homomorphism and hence the canonical sub-Möbius structure $M = \widetilde{M}$ is actually Möbius structure associated with the semi-metric $d_o(\alpha, \beta) = e^{-(\alpha|\beta)_o}$. In this case the semi-metric topology on X coincides with the standard topology, and Proposition 5.2 follows from Proposition 4.4. In a general case, there is no reason to assume that Y is boundary continuous, and respective complications are discussed in the proof below.

Remark 5.4. In general case, we define for a fixed $o \in Y$ the cross-difference

$$\mathrm{cd}_o(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) := (\alpha|\delta)_o + (\beta|\gamma)_o - (\alpha|\gamma)_o - (\beta|\delta)_o$$

for any admissible 4-tuple $P = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ in X. Setting $M_o(P) = (u, v, w)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \mathrm{cd}_o(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \\ v &= \mathrm{cd}_o(\alpha, \gamma, \delta, \beta) \\ w &= \mathrm{cd}_o(\beta, \gamma, \alpha, \delta), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain a Möbius structure M_o on X associated with the semi-metric $d_o(x, y) = e^{-(x|y)_o}$. The respective semi-metric topology on X is the standard topology. However, disadvantage is that M_o depends on o, and there is no reason for isometries of Y to preserve M_o, which leads to a quasification.

Lemma 5.5. *Let Y be h-hyperbolic with $h \geq 0$. Then for every admissible 4-tuple $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$ we have $|M(P) - M_o(P)| \leq 10h$.*

Proof. Assume $P = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. Using that

$$(\alpha|\beta)_o \leq \liminf_i (a_i|b_i)_o \leq \limsup_i (a_i|b_i)_o \leq (\alpha|\beta)_o + 2h$$

for arbitrary sequences $\{a_i\} \in \alpha$, $\{b_i\} \in \beta$, see [BS, Lemma 2.2.2], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_i \operatorname{cd}(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) &\leq \limsup_i [(a_i|d_i)_o + (b_i|c_i)_o] - \liminf_i [(a_i|c_i)_o + (b_i|d_i)_o] \\ &\leq (\alpha|\delta)_o + (\beta|\gamma)_o + 4h - (\alpha|\gamma)_o - (\beta|\delta)_o \\ &\leq \operatorname{cd}_o(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) + 4h\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary sequences $\{a_i\} \in \alpha$, $\{b_i\} \in \beta$, $\{c_i\} \in \gamma$, $\{d_i\} \in \delta$, and hence $\operatorname{cd}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \leq \operatorname{cd}_o(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) + 4h$.

In the opposite direction, we have

$$(\alpha|\delta)_o + (\beta|\gamma)_o \leq \liminf_i [(a_i|d_i)_o + (b_i|c_i)_o]$$

and

$$(\alpha|\gamma)_o + (\beta|\delta)_o \geq \limsup_i [(a_i|c_i)_o + (b_i|d_i)_o] - 4h.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{cd}_o(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) &\leq \liminf_i [(a_i|d_i)_o + (b_i|c_i)_o] - \limsup_i [(a_i|c_i)_o + (b_i|d_i)_o] + 4h \\ &\leq \liminf_i \operatorname{cd}(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) + 4h\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary sequences $\{a_i\} \in \alpha$, $\{b_i\} \in \beta$, $\{c_i\} \in \gamma$, $\{d_i\} \in \delta$. Thus $\operatorname{cd}_o(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \leq \operatorname{cd}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) + 4h$. It follows that

$$|M_o(P) - \widetilde{M}(P)|^2 \leq |u - \widetilde{u}|^2 + |v - \widetilde{v}|^2 + |\widetilde{u} + \widetilde{v} - (u + v)|^2 \leq 96h^2,$$

where $M_o(P) = (u, v, w)$, $\widetilde{M}(P) = (\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, -\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{v})$. Since M_o is equivariant with respect to the signed cross-ratio homomorphism, we have

$$M(P) - M_o(P) = \frac{1}{|S_4|} \sum_{\rho \in S_4} \operatorname{sign}(\rho) \varphi(\rho^{-1}) (\widetilde{M}(\rho(P)) - M_o(\rho(P))).$$

Hence $|M(P) - M_o(P)| \leq h\sqrt{96} < 10h$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_4$. □

Proof of Proposition 5.2. For $o \in Y$, $t > 0$, a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ and $y \in X_\omega$ we denote $B_{A,t,o}(y) = \{x \in X : d_{A,o}(x, y) < t\}$.

Then $B_{A,t,o}(y) = U_{A,\ln\frac{1}{t},o}(y)$ because $d_{A,o}(x, y) = e^{-(x|y)_{A,o}}$. Thus by Lemma 5.1 the sets $B_{A,t,o}(y)$, $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^3$ is a scale triple, $y \in X_\omega$, $t > 0$, form a subbase of the standard topology on $X = \partial_\infty Y$.

Given an admissible 5-tuple $P = (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \omega) \in X^4$ with a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_o(P_1) &= M_o(y, \alpha, \beta, \omega) = (y|\omega)_o + (\alpha|\beta)_o - (y|\beta)_o - (\alpha|\omega)_o \\
b_o(P_1) &= M_o(y, \beta, \omega, \alpha) = (y|\alpha)_o + (\beta|\omega)_o - (y|\omega)_o - (\alpha|\beta)_o \\
b_o(P_3) &= M_o(x, \beta, \omega, y) = (x|y)_o + (\beta|\omega)_o - (x|\omega)_o - (\beta|y)_o \\
b_o(P_4) &= M_o(x, \alpha, \omega, y) = (x|y)_o + (\alpha|\omega)_o - (x|\omega)_o - (\alpha|y)_o.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $b_o(P_1) + b_o(P_4) = b_o(P_3) - a_o(P_1) = (x|y)_{A,o}$. Thus using Lemma 5.5, we obtain

$$|b(P_1) + b(P_4) - (x|y)_{A,o}| \le 20h \quad \text{for} \quad y \neq \alpha$$

and

$$|b(P_3) - a(P_1) - (x|y)_{A,o}| \le 20h \quad \text{for} \quad y \neq \beta,$$

where $M(P_i) = (a(P_i), b(P_i), c(P_i))$. It follows that for $y \in X_\omega$ we have

$$B_{A,t/20h,o}(y) \subset B^\alpha_{A,t,o}(y) \subset B_{A,20ht,o}(y) \quad \text{for} \quad y \neq \alpha$$

and

$$B_{A,t/20h,o}(y) \subset B^\beta_{A,t,o}(y) \subset B_{A,20ht,o}(y) \quad \text{for} \quad y \neq \beta.$$

Let $B_{A,t,o}(y)$ be a subbase set of the standard topology with a scale triple $A = (\alpha, \beta, \omega)$, $y \in X_\omega$, $t > 0$. By Corollary 4.3, the function $x \mapsto d_{A,o}(x, y)$ is continuous, thus for every $x \in B_{A,t,o}(y)$ there is $0 < s < t$ such that $B_{A,s,o}(x) \subset B_{A,t,o}(y)$. Since $B^\alpha_{A,s/20h,o}(x) \subset B_{A,s,o}(x)$, we see that every set in X, which is open in the standard topology, is open in the M-topology. By a similar argument the converse is also true and hence the M-topology coincides with the standard one. □

References

[B] M. Bourdon, structure conforme au bord et flot géodésique d'un CAT(−1)-espace, Enseign. Math. (2) 41 (1995), 63–102.

[BS] S. Buyalo, V. Schroeder, Elements of asymptotic geometry, EMS Monographs in Mathematics, 2007, 209 pages.

[MS] R. Miao, V. Schroeder, Hyperbolic spaces and Ptolemy Möbius structures, arXive:1210.5133v1 [math.MG], 2012.

[M] I. Mineyev, Metric conformal structures and hyperbolic dimension, Conform. Geom. Dyn. 11 (2007), 137–163 (electronic).

[FS] T. Foertsch and V. Schroeder, Hyperbolicity, CAT(−1)-spaces and the Ptolemy inequality, Math. Ann. 350 (2011), no. 2, 339–356.

Sergei Buyalo
St. Petersburg Dept. of Steklov Math. Institute RAS,
Fontanka 27, 191023 St. Petersburg, Russia
sbuyalo@pdmi.ras.ru